MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change



Uncertainty Analysis of Global Climate Change Projections

Mort D. Webster, Chris E. Forest, John M. Reilly, Andrei P. Sokolov, Peter H. Stone, Henry D. Jacoby and Ronald G. Prinn

> Report No. 73 March 2001 [with revisions July 2001]

The MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change is an organization for research, independent policy analysis, and public education in global environmental change. It seeks to provide leadership in understanding scientific, economic, and ecological aspects of this difficult issue, and combining them into policy assessments that serve the needs of ongoing national and international discussions. To this end, the Program brings together an interdisciplinary group from two established research centers at MIT: the Center for Global Change Science (CGCS) and the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR). These two centers bridge many key areas of the needed intellectual work, and additional essential areas are covered by other MIT departments, by collaboration with the Ecosystems Center of the Marine Biology Laboratory (MBL) at Woods Hole, and by short- and long-term visitors to the Program. The Program involves sponsorship and active participation by industry, government, and non-profit organizations.

To inform processes of policy development and implementation, climate change research needs to focus on improving the prediction of those variables that are most relevant to economic, social, and environmental effects. In turn, the greenhouse gas and atmospheric aerosol assumptions underlying climate analysis need to be related to the economic, technological, and political forces that drive emissions, and to the results of international agreements and mitigation. Further, assessments of possible societal and ecosystem impacts, and analysis of mitigation strategies, need to be based on realistic evaluation of the uncertainties of climate science.

This report is one of a series intended to communicate research results and improve public understanding of climate issues, thereby contributing to informed debate about the climate issue, the uncertainties, and the economic and social implications of policy alternatives. Titles in the Report Series to date are listed on the inside back cover.

Henry D. Jacoby and Ronald G. Prinn, *Program Co-Directors*

For more information, please contact the Joint Program Office

Postal Address:	Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change
	77 Massachusetts Avenue
	MIT E40-271
	Cambridge MA 02139-4307 (USA)
Location [:]	One Amherst Street, Cambridge
	Building E40, Room 271
	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Access:	Phone: (617) 253-7492
	Fax: (617) 253-9845
	E-mail: globalchange@mit.edu
	Web site: http://MIT.EDU/globalchange/

🛞 Printed on recycled paper

Uncertainty Analysis of Global Climate Change Projections

Mort D. Webster, Chris E. Forest, John M. Reilly, Andrei P. Sokolov, Peter H. Stone, Henry D. Jacoby and Ronald G. Prinn

Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Building E40, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Communicating uncertainty in climate projections provides essential information to decision makers, allowing them to evaluate how policies might reduce the risk of climate impacts. In ongoing work, we use quantitative uncertainty techniques to develop this information. As an illustration of the approach we find that, absent mitigation policies, our median projection shows a global mean surface temperature rise from 1990 to 2100 of 2.3° C, with a 95% confidence interval of 0.9° C to 5.3° C (see figure). The Third Assessment Report (TAR) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports a range for the global mean surface temperature rise by 2100 of 1.4 to 5.8° C¹ but does not provide likelihood estimates for this key finding although it does for others.

Our assessment applies an integrated earth systems model.^{2,3} The climate model component is a two-dimensional (zonally averaged) model that reproduces the behavior of coupled atmosphereocean general circulation models (AOGCMs).⁴ This flexibility allows us to analyze the effect of structural uncertainties present in existing AOGCMs.⁵ We capture cascading uncertainties in natural and anthropogenic emissions of all climatically important substances, both gases and aerosols,⁶ in the critical atmospheric, oceanic, and geochemical interactions, and in the carbon-cycle feedbacks from terrestrial ecosystems and the ocean. Our estimates of key climate model uncertainties are constrained by observations of the climate system for the period 1906-1995,⁷ and uncertainty in emissions reflect errors in measurement of current emissions and expert judgment about variables that influence key economic projections.

Our estimated mean global surface temperature increase by 2100 is 2.5 °C, reflecting the fact that the distribution is skewed toward high temperature increases. In contrast to our analysis the IPCC does not indicate whether there is a 1 in 5 or 1 in 10,000 chance of exceeding its upper estimate of 5.8 °C. Our illustrative results suggest that there is about a 1 in 100 chance of a global mean surface temperature increase by 2100 as large as 5.8 °C. A caveat is that unknown and unmodeled processes (surprises) cannot be easily included in our analysis.

For decision-making we are often interested in low probability-high consequence events but it is critical to give some impression of their likelihood. Emissions reductions will lower the chance of exceeding an extreme climate outcome but not eliminate the risk entirely, and analysis of the

reduction in probability is an important policy consideration. Our method allows us to calculate a very extreme warming scenario. For example, choosing all the 95% high warming input values, which has a joint probability of 1 in 2.5 million, will lead to a temperature increase of 8.1° C in 2100. Thus, quantifying uncertainty is essential for assessments intended to provide policy guidance.

Our research is not the last word on the likelihood of future climate change. Analysis is hampered by data gaps, inadequate understanding of key earth processes, and inadequate computation power. Still, future reports by the IPCC, and others presenting similar work, would better serve the policy process by including formal analysis of uncertainty for key projections, with an explicit description of the methods used.

A more complete report on this analysis is in preparation.



Probability density function for the change in global-mean surface temperature from 1990 to 2100, estimated as a best-fit of a beta distribution to 100 simulations using Latin Hypercube sampling from input distributions. The IPCC upper estimate is beyond our 95% confidence limit. Based on this distribution, there is a 12% chance that the temperature change in 2100 would be less than the IPCC lower estimate.

References

- ¹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Working Group I Third Assessment Report: Summary for Policymakers*. (2001) <u>http://www.meto.gov.uk/sec5/CR_div/ipcc/wg1/WGI-SPM.pdf</u>
- ² Reilly, J., R. Prinn, J. Harnisch, J. Fitzmaurice, H. Jacoby, D. Kicklighter, J. Melillo, P. Stone, A. Sokolov & C. Wang. Multi-gas Assessment of the Kyoto Protocol. *Nature*, **401**: 549-555 (1999).
- ³ Prinn, R., H. Jacoby, A. Sokolov, C. Wang, X. Xiao, Z. Yang, R. Eckaus, P. Stone, D. Ellerman, J.Melillo, J. Fitzmaurice, D. Kicklighter, G. Holian & Y. Liu. Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Assessment: Feedbacks and Sensitivity Studies. *Climatic Change* **41**(3/4): 469-546 (1999).
- ⁴ Sokolov, A., & P.H. Stone. A Flexible Climate Model for Use in Integrated Assessments. *Climate Dynamics*, **14**: 291-303 (1998).
- ⁵ Forest, C.E., M.R. Allen, A. Sokolov & P.H. Stone. Constraining Climate Model Properties Using Optimal Fingerprint Detection Methods. *Climate Dynamics* (in press) (2001); see also http://web.mit.edu/globalchange/www/MITJPSPGC_Rpt62.pdf
- ⁶ Reilly, J., Mayer, M., Webster, M. D., Wang, C., Babiker, M. and R. Hyman. Uncertainty in Emissions Projections for Climate Models. in: *EOS Transactions*, American Geophysical Union, 2000 Fall Meeting (San Francisco: 15-19 December), Supplement (Nov. 28), **81** (48): F22 (2000):
- ⁷ Forest, C., P. Stone, & A. Sokolov. Using Multiple Diagnostics in Climate Change Detection to Assess Climate Model Uncertainty. in: *EOS Transactions*, American Geophysical Union, 2000 Fall Meeting, Supplement, **81** (48): F101-102 (2000).

REPORT SERIES of the **MIT** Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change

- 1. Uncertainty in Climate Change Policy Analysis H.D. Jacoby and R.G. Prinn, December 1994
- 2. Description and Validation of the MIT Version of the GISS 2D Model A. Sokolov and P. Stone, June 1995
- 3. Responses of Primary Production and Carbon Storage to Changes in Climate and Atmospheric CO₂ Concentration X. Xiao et al., October 1995
- 4. Application of the Probabilistic Collocation Method for an Uncertainty Analysis M. Webster et al., Jan. 1996
- 5. World Energy Consumption and CO₂ Emissions: 1950-2050 R. Schmalensee et al., April 1996
- 6. The MIT Emission Prediction and Policy Analysis (EPPA) Model Z. Yang et al., May 1996
- 7. Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Analysis: Model Framework and Sensitivity Studies R.G. Prinn et al., June 1996 (superseded by Report 36)
- 8. Relative Roles of Changes in CO₂ and Climate to Equilibrium Responses of Net Primary Production and Carbon Storage X. Xiao et al., June 1996
- 9. CO₂ Emissions Limits: Economic Adjustments and the Distribution of Burdens H.D. Jacoby et al., July 1997
- **10.** Modeling the Emissions of Nitrous Oxide and Methane from the Terrestrial Biosphere to the Atmosphere *Y. Liu*, August 1996
- 11. Global Warming Projections: Sensitivity to Deep Ocean Mixing, A. Sokolov and P. Stone, September 1996
- **12.** Net Primary Production of Ecosystems in China and its Equilibrium Responses to Climate Changes *X. Xiao* et al., November 1996
- 13. Greenhouse Policy Architectures and Institutions R. Schmalensee, November 1996
- 14. What Does Stabilizing Greenhouse Gas Concentrations Mean? H. Jacoby et al., November 1996
- 15. Economic Assessment of CO₂ Capture and Disposal R. Eckaus et al., December 1996
- 16. What Drives Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon? A.S.P. Pfaff, December 1996
- 17. A Flexible Climate Model For Use In Integrated Assessments A. Sokolov and P. Stone, March 1997
- 18. Transient Climate Change & Potential Croplands of the World in the 21st Century X. Xiao et al., May 1997
- **19. Joint Implementation:** Lessons from Title IV's Voluntary Compliance Programs E. Atkeson, June 1997
- **20. Parameterization of Urban Sub-grid Scale Processes in Global Atmospheric Chemistry Models** J. Calbo et al. July 1997
- 21. Needed: A Realistic Strategy for Global Warming H.D. Jacoby, R.G. Prinn and R. Schmalensee, Aug. 1997
- 22. Same Science, Differing Policies; The Saga of Global Climate Change E.B. Skolnikoff, August 1997
- 23. Uncertainty in the Oceanic Heat and Carbon Uptake and Their Impact on Climate Projections A. Sokolov et al., September 1997
- 24. A Global Interactive Chemistry and Climate Model, C. Wang, R.G. Prinn and A. Sokolov, September 1997
- 25. Interactions Among Emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Change: Implications for Future Trends C. Wang and R.G. Prinn, September 1997
- 26. Necessary Conditions for Stabilization Agreements Z. Yang and H.D. Jacoby, October 1997
- 27. Annex I Differentiation Proposals: Implications for Welfare, Equity and Policy D. Reiner & H. Jacoby, Oct. 1997
- 28. Transient Climate Change and Net Ecosystem Production of the Terrestrial Biosphere X. Xiao et al., Nov. 1997
- 29. Analysis of CO₂ Emissions from Fossil Fuel in Korea: 1961–1994 K.-H. Choi, November 1997
- 30. Uncertainty in Future Carbon Emissions: A Preliminary Exploration M. Webster, November 1997
- **31. Beyond Emissions Paths:** *Rethinking the Climate Impacts of Emissions Protocols in an Uncertain World M. Webster and D. Reiner,* November 1997
- 32. Kyoto's Unfinished Business H.D. Jacoby, R.G. Prinn and R. Schmalensee, June 1998
- 33. Economic Development and the Structure of the Demand for Commercial Energy R. Judson et al., April 1998
- 34. Combined Effects of Anthropogenic Emissions and Resultant Climatic Changes on Atmospheric OH C. Wang and R.G. Prinn, April 1998
- 35. Impact of Emissions, Chemistry, and Climate on Atmospheric Carbon Monoxide C. Wang & R. Prinn, Apr. 1998
- **36**. Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Assessment: *Feedbacks and Sensitivity Studies R.G. Prinn* et al., June 1998
- 37. Quantifying the Uncertainty in Climate Predictions M. Webster and A. Sokolov, July 1998
- 38. Sequential Climate Decisions Under Uncertainty: An Integrated Framework L.J. Valverde et al., Sep. 1998

- **39.** Uncertainty in Atmospheric CO₂ Concentrations from an Uncertainty Analysis of a Ocean Carbon Cycle Model *G. Holian*, October 1998
- **40.** Analysis of Post-Kyoto CO₂ Emissions Trading Using Marginal Abatement Curves A.D. Ellerman and A. Decaux, October 1998
- **41.** The Effects on Developing Countries of the Kyoto Protocol and CO₂ Emissions Trading A.D. Ellerman et al., November 1998
- 42. Obstacles to Global CO₂ Trading: A Familiar Problem A.D. Ellerman, November 1998
- 43. The Uses and Misuses of Technology Development as a Component of Climate Policy H. Jacoby, Nov. 1998
- 44. Primary Aluminum Production: Climate Policy, Emissions and Costs J. Harnisch et al., December 1998
- 45. Multi-Gas Assessment of the Kyoto Protocol J. Reilly et al., January 1999
- 46. From Science to Policy: The Science-Related Politics of Climate Change Policy in the U.S. E. Skolnikoff, Jan. 1999
- **47. Constraining Uncertainties in Climate Models Using Climate Change Detection Techniques** *C. Forest* et al., April 1999
- 48. Adjusting to Policy Expectations in Climate Change Modeling S. Shackley et al., May 1999
- 49. Toward a Useful Architecture for Climate Change Negotiations H.D. Jacoby et al, May 1999
- **50.** A Study of the Effects of Natural Fertility, Weather and Productive Inputs in Chinese Agriculture *R.S. Eckaus and K. Tso*, July 1999
- 51. Japanese Nuclear Power and the Kyoto Agreement M. Babiker, J. Reilly and A.D. Ellerman, August 1999
- 52. Interactive Chemistry and Climate Models in Global Change Studies C. Wang and R.G. Prinn, Sep. 1999
- **53.** Developing Country Effects of Kyoto-Type Emissions Restrictions M. Babiker and H.D. Jacoby, Oct. 1999
- 54. Model Estimates of the Mass Balance of the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets V. Bugnion, Oct. 1999
- **55. Changes in Sea-Level Associated with Modifications of the Ice Sheets over the 21st Century** *V. Bugnion*, October 1999
- 56. The Kyoto Protocol and Developing Countries M. Babiker, J. Reilly and H.D. Jacoby, October 1999
- **57.** A Game of Climate Chicken: Can EPA regulate GHGs before the Senate ratifies the Kyoto Protocol? V. Bugnion and D. Reiner, Nov. 1999
- 58. Multiple Gas Control Under the Kyoto Agreement J. Reilly, M. Mayer and J. Harnisch, March 2000
- 59. Supplementarity: An Invitation for Monopsony? A.D. Ellerman and I. Sue Wing, April 2000
- 60. A Coupled Atmosphere-Ocean Model of Intermediate Complexity for Climate Change Study I. Kamenkovich et al., May 2000
- 61. Effects of Differentiating Climate Policy by Sector: A U.S. Example M. Babiker et al., May 2000
- **62. Constraining Climate Model Properties using Optimal Fingerprint Detection Methods** *C. Forest* et al., May 2000
- 63. Linking Local Air Polution to Global Chemistry and Climate M. Mayer et al., June 2000
- **64.** The Effects of Changing Consumption Patterns on the Costs of Emission Restrictions S. Lahiri et al., August 2000
- 65. Rethinking the Kyoto Emissions Targets M.J. Babiker and R.S. Eckaus, August 2000
- 66. Fair Trade and Harmonization of Climate Change Policies in Europe L. Viguier, September 2000
- 67. The Curious Role of "Learning" in Climate Policy: Should We Wait for More Data? M. Webster, Oct. 2000
- 68. How to Think About Human Influence on Climate C.E. Forest, P.H. Stone and H.D. Jacoby, October 2000
- **69. Tradable Permits for Greenhouse Gas Emissions:** *A primer with particular reference to Europe A.D. Ellerman*, November 2000
- 70. Carbon Emissions and The Kyoto Commitment in the European Union L.L. Viguier et al., Feb. 2001
- 71. The MIT Emissions Prediction and Policy Analysis (EPPA) Model: *Revisions, Sensitivities, and Comparisons of Results M.H. Babiker* et al., February 2001
- 72. Cap and Trade Policies in the Presence of Monopoly and Distortionary Taxation D. Fullerton and G. Metcalf, March 2001
- 73. Uncertainty Analysis of Global Climate Change Projections M. Webster et al., March 2001